

F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR.

FIFTH DISTRICT, WISCONSIN

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

SUBCOMMITTEE ON
CRIME, TERRORISM, HOMELAND
SECURITY, AND INVESTIGATIONS
CHAIRMAN

COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE,
AND TECHNOLOGY



Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-4905

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

ROOM 2449

RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4905

202-225-5101

DISTRICT OFFICE:

120 BISHOPS WAY, ROOM 154

BROOKFIELD, WI 53005-6294

262-784-1111

OUTSIDE MILWAUKEE METRO

CALLING AREA:

1-800-242-1119

WEBSITE:

[HTTP://SENSENBRENNER.HOUSE.GOV](http://SENSENBRENNER.HOUSE.GOV)

November 18, 2015

The Honorable Charles F. Bolden
Administrator
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
300 E Street, SW
Washington, DC 20546

Dear Administrator Bolden:

I am writing to request information about the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) policy with respect to full and open competition in the acquisition process. NASA is in the midst of an up-to ten-year \$1.3 billion dollar technology purchase known as the NASA Integrated Communications Service (NICS) contract. Such a large and important technology purchase should follow both the letter and spirit of full and open competition laws, regulations, and Office of Management and Budget guidelines to ensure that NASA, and the taxpayer, get the best value for their investment, as well as the best and most cost-effective solutions to meet mission requirements.

In 2011, NASA awarded the NICS contract to Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC). Under the contract, SAIC provides managerial and technical expertise to support NASA's Office of the Chief Information Officer (CIO) for corporate and mission communications needs, including local area network (LAN) management, at all NASA centers. Functions include corporate and mission enterprise services, center and associated component facility services, infrastructure projects, and contract management services.

It has come to my attention that, pursuant to NICS, there is an Approved Products List (APL) developed by the contractor. The APL governs which products can be purchased for NASA systems and networks, and likely will impact NASA acquisitions for years to come. Interestingly, every approved product listed on the NICS LAN wired and wireless network APL belongs to a single manufacturer. At the same time, alternate vendors that have supplied network equipment to NASA, and successfully met mission requirements, have not been evaluated for inclusion on the APL for current and future purchases, despite requesting an opportunity to be evaluated.

I also have learned that a June 17, 2015 NASA presentation explains how network equipment purchases under NICS would be made. NASA's Communications Service Office gave the

presentation in connection with the External Border Protection project. This presentation purportedly indicates that a preference will be given to the products of a single manufacturer.

As Chairman Emeritus of the House Science, Space, and Technology Committee, and a member of its Subcommittee on Oversight, I am concerned that NASA may be proceeding with major acquisitions without considering the benefits of competition, the best value for the taxpayer and end user, and the security benefits of avoiding a single vendor network. With that in mind, please provide my office with:

1. A copy of the above referenced June 17, 2015 presentation believed to be delivered at NASA's Langley Research Center by Kevin Boswell in connection with the External Border Protection (EBPro) project;
2. An explanation of whether and why the NICS APL includes the products of only one manufacturer for its LAN wired and wireless network approved products list; and
3. An explanation of NASA's role in the development and modification of the NICS APL.

I would also like you to address the following questions.

1. Does NASA support only one manufacturer being listed on the NICS LAN wired and wireless network APL?
2. Does NASA support the inclusion of multiple qualified manufacturers on this list?

Please respond by to my request by December 2, 2015 and send your response to Todd Washam (todd.washam@mail.house.gov) on my staff.

Thank you for your attention to this request.

Sincerely,



F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR.
Chairman Emeritus
House Science, Space and Technology Committee